

Cascadia Training
Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met:	<i>Excellent, Creative, insightful, Easy, Clean</i>				

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met:	_____				

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

*I like the art therapy workshops you are offering.
 & more on our side of the water.*

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

less "tangents"

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

online

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

N/A

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Supervisor

Suggestions for future workshop topics

N/A

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/Instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

(Excellent)

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/Instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Length can be shortened w/o sacrificing content or quality 9-3:30.
 Handouts extremely helpful.

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Email through networking group, location was very convenient.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

A panel format - Therapist - MD - (DMHP for suicidality w/ Moderator

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

 Good

 Fair

 Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

KISAP CO. THERAPIST NETWORK.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

would like to have slides which were not included in note pack emailed to us.

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

e-mail

Suggestions for future workshop topics

*therapy
 note/medical
 records.
 disclosure #*

shame

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

At work - needed suicide training for license, also hoping for new information

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

flier / mailing

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: Excellent Good Fair Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Slightly long. Very good content & love the handouts.

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Received @ Mailer.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

I like the idea of shame workshop.

Could you do an ethics for CD Counselors.

Would like training on Psychotropic, SSR1 type drugs & brain.

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

online

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent
Good
Fair
Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Case scenarios are always great for interactive learning and application

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Required, location, Presenter, Cascadia Trainings have always been excellent

Suggestions for future workshop topics

multiculturalism

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____.					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

topic & location

Suggestions for future workshop topics

*mitochondrial issues
 adult treatment for those on autistic spectrum
 ethics
 narcissism & addiction*

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Attachment disorder what it looks like in adults.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Handout were clear & appreciated

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Mail

New requirement & important clinical issue

Suggestions for future workshop topics

- Pain Management
- Mitochondria & Bipolar, etc....

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

→ very great ability to deflect follow controversial questions responses

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Cascadia

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

room temperature uneven

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

I would have appreciated handouts for all of the slides she presented.

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

I received a "flyer" in the mail.
 - License requirement is what made me decide to attend.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/Instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

email from Cascadia
 Need for knowledge

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____.					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING: **Excellent** **Good** **Fair** **Poor**

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Very good - good handouts

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
 Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/Instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met:	awesome!				

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Best course I have taken to date. I get most my CE w/ cascadia but this is the first time with her. She is great

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Use frequently

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Back to the basics.
 charting for effective & clarity
 Oct I's cross T's items we forget.

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

Cascadia Training
Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content	5.	4	3.	2.	1.
d. Content matched the stated learning objectives	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
g. Handouts were current and useful	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
h. Learning objectives were met as stated	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met: _____					

2. Instruction

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusive	5	4.	3.	2.	1.

3. Logistics/Staff

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	5	4.	3.	2.	1.
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	5.	4.	3.	2.	1.

OVERALL RATING:

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

(4) The room temp was hot → cold all over

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

mailing at home

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.