Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not me	Et:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. is fall of fear
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree i	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusing</li> </ul>	(F) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	5	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	•	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. met:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly	Agree 1	leutral	Disagree	Strongly

2. Instruction	Agree	Agree	Neutrai	Disagree	Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus</li> </ul>	5. 5. 5. 5. sive	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	(N) (5)	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good	$\supset$	Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics, workshops you are offering.

I the the art through workshops you are offering.

I more on our side of the leader.

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not me	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	ന സ്ത്രീന ന: ത്രന ന:	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowled the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared. Concepts were clearly explained. Presenter(s) answered question.</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful to the presenter of the presenter</li></ul>	ed/organized ed ons satisfactorily	ve 5.	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth a     b. Cascadia Staff was responsive     c. The quality of the facilities was	e and helpful	5.	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING:	Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not me	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	4.4.4.4.4.4.4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusions</li> </ul>	(5) (5) 5. (5) 5.	4. 4. 4. 4.	3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	(5.) (5)	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fair	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

NIA

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Supervision

Suggestions for future workshop topics

NIA

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not m	्रम्मा अन्य त्या क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा विकास क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा क्रिक्टा क्रिक्ट इस्टेडिक्टा क्रिक्टा	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
i. It negative, blease state specific objective(s) not it	IEL.				

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> </ul>	(5x	4.	3.	2.	1
b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized	<b>(£)</b>	4.	3.	2.	1.
c. Concepts were clearly explained	ive S	4.	3.	2.	1.
d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily	(ES)	4.	3.	2.	1.
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusi	ive 5.	4.	3.	2.	1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient	(2)	4.	3.	2.	1
b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	(5)	4.		2.	1.
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	<b>E</b> )	4.	3.	2.	1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagre	e Strongly Disagree		
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not in	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. met:	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	·	
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree N	leutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus</li> </ul>	5) 5. 5	4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.		
3, Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutrai	Disagree	Strongly Disagree		
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	5. 5. 5.	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.		
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Faiı	•	Poor		
Comments and recommendations if program is Length (un be short (ontent or quality thandouts extremely Where did you hear about this workshop and Final through network Very Convenient.	tene Indf	d v	~(0 30.	Sac	rific	ng	S

Suggestions for future workshop topics

A panel tarmat - Therapist - MO - (DMHP for Suicidality w/ Moderator

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not me	<u>্রিপিনির্নালির্নালির</u> net:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus</li> </ul>	55 55 55 ive 5.	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	566	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Kissap co. THERA PIST NET WORK.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014 **Participant Evaluation** 

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not re	5. 5 5. 5 5. 5 . net:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3, 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. b.d 1.	wind one not
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusing</li> </ul>	(5) (5) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.	
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	(A)	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.	

**OVERALL RATING:** 

Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

would like to have slides which were
not included in note pack encited to us.

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?  $e \cdot mai$ 

Suggestions for future workshop topics

The medical

Te cords.

disclosaure \*\*

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not m	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. et:	क क्लिक क्लिक्	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction  a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered  b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized c. Concepts were clearly explained d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus	Strongly Agree 5 5 5. 5. ive 5	Agree 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	Strongly Disagree  1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	5. 5.	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend? At work-related succeed training for wense, also hoping for New inference to

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not me	ভিদ্য দক্তিভিন্তিক্তি et:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus</li> </ul>	Supply of the state of the stat	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	(3. (3.	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Sleper/Mailing

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1, Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not it	met:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus</li> </ul>	(6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6)	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
me to the second second second	(C)	4	2	2	1

2	The	enroll	ment	was	smooth	and	efficient	

- b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful
- c. The quality of the facilities was adequate

**OVERALL RATING:** 



Good		Fair		Poor
(S)	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
Agree				Disagre

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014 Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not negative.	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	विक्रिक्ट विक्	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction  a. Presenter(s) seemed knowled the topics covered  b. Presenter(s) were well presenter c. Concepts were clearly explained. Presenter(s) answered que e. Presenter(s) were respective.	pared/organized ained stions satisfactorily	Strongly Agree 5. 5. 5. ive 5	Agree 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	Strongly Disagree  1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
3. Logistics/Staff		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
a. The enrollment was smootl b. Cascadia Staff was respons c. The quality of the facilities	sive and helpful	(S)	4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.	
OVERALL RATING:	Excellent	Good		Fair	г	Poor	

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.) Slightly long. Very good content & love the handouts.

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend? Received a mailor.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

I like the idea of share workshop.

Could you do an ethics for CD Counselors.

Would like training on Psychotropic, SSRI type drugs 3 brain.

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not met	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.	मिनं सिक्किक्किनं न	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 43	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus</li> </ul>	(S) (S) (S) (S)	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	<b>©</b>	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	•	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Suggestions for future workshop topics

online

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014

Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not re	55. 5. 5. මලිලිලි net:	4. (4) 44 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusing</li> </ul>	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	4. 4. 4. 4.	3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	<u> </u>	4. 4. 4.	3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fair	•	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Case Scenarios are always great for interactive learny and application

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Regaired, location, Presenter, Cascadia Training have always been excellent

Suggestions for future workshop topics

multiculturdin

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not me	બિલ્લુબ બહ્યું et:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusions</li> </ul>	(y) (y) (y) (y) (y) (y) (y) (y) (y) (y)	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	(S) (S)	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

**Suggestions for future workshop topics** 

mitochondrial issues adact freatment for those on autistu spectrum ethics

Jone & Stration

Feel free to use the back if there is anything else you would like to tell us. Thank you for attending.

attachment disorder , shortet looks bele in adults.

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	e Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not	(S)	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree N	leutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning</li> </ul>					

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusions</li> </ul>	(5) (5) (5) (5) (ive (5)	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	(5) (5)	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fair	•	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Handat were clear & appreciated

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend? Mai

New requirement & important clinical issue

Suggestions for future workshop topics

- Pain Mara gener + - Mitochendia & Bipolar, etc...

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014

**Participant Evaluation** 

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not m	(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(5)(	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> </ul>	(5) - (3) - (3)	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.————————————————————————————	very great
e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclus	sive $(5.)$	4.	3.	2.	1.	abitity
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	to deflect
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful	(S)	4. 4.	3. 3.	2. 2.	1. 1.	tallow Contraversid
c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	<b>(5.)</b>	4.	3.	2.	1.	questions
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fair	r	Poor	responses

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend? (ascaclia)

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014 **Participant Evaluation** 

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

Agree

Strongly Agree Neutral

Disagree Strongly

Dicarroo

Poor

	Agree				Disagree	
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. met:	क्लिक्ट व रखें	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally incluse</li> </ul>	THE COLUMN TO TH	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.	
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful c. The quality of the facilities was adequate		4. 4 <del>.</del> 4 <sub>x</sub>	3. 3. 3. ~100	2. 2. m-tev	1. 1. uperature	, uneven

Fair

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

I would have appreciated handouts for all

H the slides she presented.

Excellent

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

I HCLIVED A "I flyer" in the mal.

- License Hegurement is what made me decide to attend.

Suggestions for future workshop topics

1. Content/Form/Learning

**OVERALL RATING:** 

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not	(A)	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree 1	Neutral	Disagree :	Strongly Disagree

2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally incluse</li> </ul>	භ තිල් ive ති	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	<b>5</b> 5.	4. 4. 4	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good	l	Fai	r	Poor

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

email from Cascaria

Need for knowledge

Suggestions for future workshop topics

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014

Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning	Strongly Agree	y Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not re	5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. met:	वं क्रिक्ट्रिक्ट वं	3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree I	Neutral	Disagree S	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusing</li> </ul>	55 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	/ Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	55.	4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Good

Fair

Poor

Very good - good handout

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

Excellent

Suggestions for future workshop topics

OVERALL RATING:

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Strongly Agree Neutral

Disagree Strongly

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

1. Content/Form/Learning

	Agree	,		•	Disagree	
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not negative.	net:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
2. Instruction	Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree		
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclusing</li> </ul>	Agree 5.	4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful c. The quality of the facilities was adequate	\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4. 4. 4.	3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.	
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good		Fai	r	Poor	
Comments and recommendations if program is  Book Cause & Mark  J get Most My	presente	ed again	n (e.g. le	ength, ha	ndouts, for	mat, etc.)

Back to the basics.

Charting for effective & clarity

Oct I's cross I's thems we buget

Where did you hear about this workshop, and what made you decide to attend?

Suicide Assessment: February 28, 2014
Participant Evaluation

Please indicate your agreement/disagreement with the following statements by circling the appropriate number for each statement

Strongly Agree Neutral

Disagree Strongly

	Agree			•	Disagree
a. Program description was accurate b. I acquired new knowledge and/or skills c. Teaching format/length was suitable to content d. Content matched the stated learning objectives e. Participant/instructor interaction was sufficient f. Audiovisual aids were legible and helpful g. Handouts were current and useful h. Learning objectives were met as stated i. If negative, please state specific objective(s) not	ကြ)ဂ သုံ့မြှ <b>ြာခွဲဖြ</b> met:	4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
2. Instruction	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<ul> <li>a. Presenter(s) seemed knowledgeable concerning the topics covered</li> <li>b. Presenter(s) were well prepared/organized</li> <li>c. Concepts were clearly explained</li> <li>d. Presenter(s) answered questions satisfactorily</li> <li>e. Presenter(s) were respectful and culturally inclused</li> </ul>	ive Sive	4. 4. 4. 4.	3. 3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1. 1.

3. Logistics/Staff	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	
<ul><li>a. The enrollment was smooth and efficient</li><li>b. Cascadia Staff was responsive and helpful</li><li>c. The quality of the facilities was adequate</li></ul>	<b>9</b> <b>5</b> .	4. 4. (4)	3. 3. 3.	2. 2. 2.	1. 1. 1.	us both
OVERALL RATING: Excellent	Good	Ο,	The ro		Poor	all over

Comments and recommendations if program is presented again (e.g. length, handouts, format, etc.)

Where did you hear about this workshop and what made you decide to attend?

mailing at home

Suggestions for future workshop topics

1. Content/Form/Learning